

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/812,305	03/19/2001		Richard D. Nearhood	31363.003 (formerly 69305	2243
48276	7590	06/14/2006		EXAMINER	
TIFFANY (_	CUFF, MICHAEL A		
CAMELBACK ESPLANADE II, THIRD FLOOR 2525 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD PHOENIX, AZ 85016				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3627	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	09/812,305	NEARHOOD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael Cuff	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ap	oril 2006						
· _ · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
·=	,—						
.—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	.,,						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
_	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Approcation (i 10-102)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 19-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant has provided a definition in an affidavit, which has made the claims unclear. The <u>IAAO Glossary</u> defines jurisdiction as "the right and power to interpret and apply the law; also, the power to tax and the power to govern." The examiner accepts this definition.

Claim 19 recites, "the jurisdiction comprises a local property tax assessor, treasurer or collector that is not a local property tax appropriating district"

Claims 29 and 44 recite, "each of the plurality of jurisdiction is a property tax assessor, treasurer or collector that is not a local property tax appropriating district"

Applicant's claim language is not consistent with the definition. A tax assessor, treasurer or collector cannot be a jurisdiction and visa versa.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by http://www.oklahomacounty.org/assessor/TaxCalculator.htm, Published 2/20/1999.

http://www.oklahomacounty.org/assessor/TaxCalculator.htm shows a tax bill calculator. Portion "A" of the reference shows a database of property tax data (accounts, lots, years, addresses). The jusisdiction data is inherent in the address, which identifies the school district (jurisdiction). See page 2 of portion "B", general information, rates vary across the county depending on which school district the property is located (different tax rules/templates). The website (GUI) receives inputs and generates reports. See page 3 of portion "B", general information, for installment information.

In specific reference to claim 1, the school district identifier is on the same website and the tax assessor, which makes them "associated" and the tax assessor is not a local property tax appropriating district.

Response to Arguments

Applicant refutes examiner's assertion that the example of "Maricopa" contradicts applicant's position. The examiner now understands that the specification is merely vague, not contradictory. In fact checking applicant's specification, there was no distinction in the specification that Maricopa or Mar/AZ was the county and not the town.

Applicant asserts that, "even though tax district rates vary across the tax districts in Oklahoma County, the Oklahoma County Assessor has only on tax calculation

formula that he follows for computing property taxes." The examiner has two problems with this assertion. One, the claim language is drawn only to a broad "tax rule", not a formula and, two, if one changes the slope or rate of a line or an equation, it is a different formula.

Applicant has spent much time making a distinction between a jurisdiction and a district. It is important to focus on the claim language, "jurisdiction identifier". The examiner's position is that the school district identifier shown in the prior art can meet all limitations recited limiting a "jurisdiction identifier". In addition, if a specific region is identified, doesn't the specific region also identify a broader region? For example, if on identifies the State of Arizona, has not the United States of America also been identified. If the town of Maricopa has been identified, has not the County of Maricopa also been identified?

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3627

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (571) 272-6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Cuff

laff 6/7/06

June 7, 2006